

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/003,958	11/15/2001	Michael Baentsch	CH920000029 6674		
7590 06/14/2005			EXAMINER		
Casey August			YIGDALL, MICHAEL J		
Intellectual Property Law Dept. IBM Corporation			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
P.O. Box 218			2192		
Yorktown Heig	ghts, NY 10598	DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No. 10/003,958	Applicant(s) BAENTSCH ET AL. Art Unit 2192	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner Michael J. Yigdall		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	
REPLY FILED 18 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to compare the results of the reply was filed after a final rejection.			

	Michael J. Yigo	dall	2192					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 18 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods: 	wing replies: (1 stice of Appeal () an amendment, a with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	in SIX MONTHS f	rom the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		inder 27 CEB 1 126/e	\ and the envisore extension	anaian faa haya				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explore a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	ktension thereo	f (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal d	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS	h 	.1.4 6.601 1						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and			secause ·				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for app	eal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding i	number of finally re	jected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1								
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ed Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		nitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be e vided below or a	entered, or b) 🗌 wappended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rej y and was not e	ections under appe earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status	of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT pla	ce the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or	PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The proposed amendments change the scope of the claims and would require further consideration and/or search. Moreover, it should be noted that the proposed amendments would render claims 8-10 indefinite under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Claim 8 is indefinite because the recitations of "the parameter" and "the hash function" lack antecedent basis. A hash function is not introduced until claim 9, and a hash function with a parameter is not introduced until claim 10. Claims 9 and 10 depend upon claim 8.

MY

TUAN DAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER